

Equality Fund Request for Proposals

Scoring Rubric

| The organization hadividuals. | as a mission or has pro | grams explicitly designa | ted to serve LGBT | QIA+ | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Yes No | | | | | | |
| | | east one year implemen Greater Hartford regio | | for | | |
| Yes | | | | | | |
| The organization do | oes not have a current o | core support grant throu | igh the Hartford F | oundation. | | |
| Yes No | | | | | | |
| The organization sedirector, CEO, or p | 0 11 | a member of the LGBT | QIA+ community | (executive | | |
| 1 | | | | 5 | | |
| No | | | | Yes | | |
| O | eeking support is led by CEO, or president). | a racially/ethnically un | der-represented no | onprofit leader | | |
| 1 | | | | 5 | | |
| No | | | | Yes | | |
| The proposal seeks funding support in one of the prioritized program areas: 1) social connectedness of queer youth, 2) third or community safe spaces, 3) LGBTQIA+ advocacy, 4) improved access to quality, culturally relevant, and inclusive mental health services, 5) community-based harm reduction practices, or 6) accessing safe, affordable housing and shelter.* | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| Strongly Agree Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly | | |

^{*}Foundation staff will further prioritize project proposals that address more than one identified priority area.



| Optional: The application addresses barriers for participation and identifies strategies to increase program accessibility and engagement. If not applicable to proposal, skip. | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | |
| Strongly Agree Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly | | | |
| _ | ion demonstrates cult e reflective of the con | ural humility and sensi nmunity served. | tivity, including thro | ugh the recruitment | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | |
| Strongly Agree Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly | | | |
| | | mmitment to LGBTQI licit participant feedba | | ng through its use | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | |
| Strongly Agree Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly | | | |
| The proposed | budget and use of fun | ds is reasonable. | | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | |
| Strongly Agree Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly | | | |
| The proposed | budget adequately in | cludes other funding so | urces. | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | |
| Strongly Agree Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly | | | |