

## Hartford Foundation for Public Giving

## Civic Engagement and Get Out the Vote Request for Rubric Proposals 2024

	0	1	2	3
	(Lowest Score)			(Most favorable)
The organization or group is led by a racially/ethnically underrepresented nonprofit leader (Executive Director, CEO or President) or group leader, if applicable.	No, the organization or group is not led by a racially/ethnically underrepresented leader.	N/A	N/A	Yes, the organization or group is led by a racially/ethnically underrepresented leader.
The organization or group staff is proximate to the population served and has the ability to perform from a perspective of cultural humility. This might include the strategic recruitment of staff or members (people) reflective of the community being served, training for greater understanding, inclusive service delivery/programmatic elements.	The organization's staff or group members demonstrate no ability and/or commitment to perform from a perspective of cultural humility.	The organization or group shows limited ability and/or commitment to perform from a perspective of cultural humility.	The organization or group shows some ability to and/or commitment to perform their work or conduct their project from a perspective of cultural humility.	The organization or group explicitly demonstrates a commitment to perform their work from a perspective of cultural humility.



FOR PUBLIC GIVING Together for good.				
The organization's current grant history.	The organization has at least one open, active core support or multi- year grant from the Foundation.	The organization has at least one open grant, awarded through donor- advised grants, Nonprofit Support Program, Access Grants, or another RFP, etc.	The organization does not currently have any open, active grant from the Foundation.	The organization has never received a grant from the Foundation.
The applicant offers a solid rationale for how the proposed work effectively works with unengaged and/or underrepresented voters via nonpartisan voter engagement activities. Note: Voter education, issue-based forums and other robust educational activities will rank higher on the scoring system.	As described, the proposed activities could not be expected to effectively engage unengaged and/or underrepresented voters via nonpartisan voter engagement activities.	As described, the proposed activities could be expected to effectively engage a limited number of unengaged and/or underrepresented voters via nonpartisan voter engagement activities.	As described, the proposed activities could be expected to effectively engage a moderate group of unengaged and/or underrepresented voters via nonpartisan voter engagement activities.	As described, the proposed activities could be expected to effectively engage a significant number of unengaged and/or underrepresented voters via nonpartisan voter engagement activities.
The applicant provides ample evidence that the project will be impactful based on evidence, previous track record data of others implementing a similar strategy, research or emerging thinking around an innovative idea, etc.	The applicant provides no evidence that the proposed work will be impactful.	The applicant provides limited evidence that the proposed work will be impactful.	The applicant provides a reasonable amount of evidence that the proposed work will be impactful.	The applicant provides strong and ample evidence that the proposed work will be impactful.



Together for good.*			<b>·</b>	
Voter engagement	The voter	The voter	The voter	The voter
activities serving	engagement	engagement activities	engagement	engagement
populations in Hartford	activities outlined	outlined target a	activities outlined	activities outlined
demonstrating high	do not target	limited number of	target a moderate	target a
rates of eligible,	Hartford	Hartford populations	number of	significant
unregistered and/or	populations with	with high rates of	Hartford	number of
nonengaged voters.	high rates of	eligible, unregistered	populations with	Hartford
Double weighted	eligible, unregistered or nonengaged voters. (0 points)	or nonengaged voters. (2 points)	high rates of eligible, unregistered or nonengaged voters. (4 points)	populations with high rates of eligible, unregistered nonengaged voters. (6 points)
Voter engagement	The voter	The voter	The voter	The voter
activities serving	engagement	engagement activities	engagement	engagement
populations in Hartford	activities outlined	outlined serve a	activities outlined	activities outlined
Foundation serving	do not serve	limited number of	serve a moderate	serve a significant
towns (outside of	populations in	Hartford Foundation	number of	number of
Hartford)	Hartford	serving town	Hartford	Hartford
demonstrating high	Foundation	populations with high	Foundation	Foundation
rates of eligible,	serving towns	rates of eligible,	serving town	serving town
unregistered and/or	with high rates of	unregistered or	populations with	populations with
nonengaged voters.	eligible,	nonengaged voters.	high rates of	high rates of
	unregistered or		eligible,	eligible,
Note: Towns with the	nonengaged		unregistered or	unregistered non-
highest rates of	voters.		nonengaged	engaged voters.
nonengaged voters or			voters.	
eligible unregistered				
voters or lowest voter				
turnout are prioritized.				
(East Hartford, East				
Windsor, Enfield, and				
Manchester; see				
CTData 2020				
Presidential Findings)				